

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KIARA ROBLES,
Plaintiff,

v.

IN THE NAME OF HUMANITY, WE
REFUSE TO ACCEPT A FASCIST
AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-04864-CW

ORDER FOR OPPOSITION TO
MOTION TO DISMISS

On October 5, 2018, Plaintiff Kiara Robles filed her Second Amended Complaint against Defendants Raha Mirabdal and Ian Dabney Miller. Docket No. 93. On October 18, 2018, Defendant Mirabdal filed her Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint. Docket No. 96. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, Plaintiff's response was due on November 1, 2018. Plaintiff has yet to file her response.

"Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 41(b), the district court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with any order of the court." Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992). In addition, failure to file an opposition to a motion to dismiss is grounds for dismissal under the Local Rules. Calip v. Soc. Sec. Admin., 14-cv-2047-JD, 2014 WL 3421147, at *2 (N.D. Cal. July 14, 2014). Nevertheless, the Court will afford Plaintiff an opportunity to file a response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss due no later than seven days from the filing of this Order.¹ If Plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Kolodzi, does not file an

¹ The Court is aware that Plaintiff is represented only by Mr. Michael Kolodzi after the Court revoked pro hac vice status

1 opposition by this date, Plaintiff should seek substitute counsel
2 or will need to represent herself pro per. In such instance,
3 Plaintiff will be granted an additional seven days, totaling
4 fourteen days from the date of this Order to file a response.

5 If Plaintiff files a response, Defendant Mirabdal will have
6 the opportunity to file her reply due no later than seven days
7 after Plaintiff's response. Once the Motion is fully briefed,
8 the Court will decide if the motion is suitable for disposition
9 on the papers pursuant to Local Rule 7-1(b). The Court will set
10 a new hearing date if needed. Thus, the hearing date for
11 November 27, 2018 is hereby VACATED.

12 Failure to respond to Defendant Mirabdal's Motion to Dismiss
13 may result in the motion being granted and may result in the
14 dismissal of Plaintiff's claim against Defendant Mirabdal.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 Dated: November 13, 2018



18 CLAUDIA WILKEN
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 _____
27 of Mr. Kolodzi's co-counsel, Mr. Larry Klayman. As noted in the
28 Court's prior order, see Docket No. 99 at 5, if Mr. Kolodzi can
no longer represent Ms. Robles, then he may seek permission from
the Court to withdraw as Plaintiff's attorney. See Civil L.R.
11-5.